Ontological foundations of Mystifying in Mulla Sadra's Transcendental Wisdom

Ahmad Reza Honari¹
Tahereh Kamalizadeh²
Iraj Dadashi³

Abstract
Mystifying is a method used in various cultures and civilizations to convey various meanings such as metaphysical meanings and has a history in the field of Islamic culture and civilization. In the wisdom of Ishraqi, Suhrawardi, (Illuminative Wisdom) as well as in mystic teachings, it is possible to observe the widespread codifying. Given that the method of codifying is based on a kind of ontology, this research seeks to answer the question of whether the ontological foundations of the Transcendental philosophy of Sadra, which is one of the most prominent schools of Islamic philosophy, are consistent with the codification and can be considered as the theoretical support. If so, then what are these basics? It seems that the ontological foundations of Transcendental wisdom can be codified as a theoretical basis due to in-depth and accurate analyzes of existence. It seems ontological foundations of transcendental philosophy such as Principality and gradation of existence, reality and intangible reality, relational existence can be considered as a firm and rich basis for mystifying(codifying) because of Mulla Sadra’s deep and exact investigations about existence. These bases provide a framework in which the codifying will have a strong and rational basis, as well as an existential criterion for evaluating and recognizing the correct and rational coding.
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Problem Statement
Man has always used various methods such as unambiguous expressions to communicate and transfer their intentions and to convey the concepts, which the mystifying is one of its examples. Occasionally, symbols are conventionally used for purposes and concepts that relate to the material life, needs and the requirements of the human community, such as language, signs, forms, etc, which are used in different areas. Sometimes symbols have a wider and deeper function too, and they have been used in the worldview and global outlook. Such symbols have a metaphysical origin and have always been used in religions, mystical and esoteric thought, and have ritualistic functions. This method can be seen in the Islamic worldview and the Quran language and has been regarded by mystics and wises, such as Suhrawardi. This approach should be based on the foundations and frameworks in order to have a reasonable use. Therefore, the aim of this research is to answer the question of whether the ontological foundations of Transcendental wisdom, as one of the most influential schools in Islamic philosophy, fit with symbolism and can provide the theoretical foundations? What are these foundations? This research looks for the ontological foundations of symbolism in transcendental wisdom.

Method
Descriptive-analytic method has been used to achieve desirable results.

Findings and Results
Metaphysical Symbolism is fully compatible and in harmony with the ontological foundations of transcendental wisdom. Because this kind of symbolism is based on a hierarchical look to the universe, both verbal and objective symbols, whether natural or artificial, are all manifestations of metaphysical reality. Since the ontological foundations of Mulla Sadra, are all based on the gradation of existence, the hierarchical view of existence, in fact, expresses a kind of symbolic look to the universe. The followings are the main basics principles:
1. Principality of existence
The relation between this principle and symbolism can be considered from two directions. The first is that the foundations of transcendental wisdom, some of which are referred to as the foundations of symbolism, such as the gradation of existence, reality and intangible reality, relational existence are based on this principle. The second direction is that the metaphysical codifying is essentially based on the hierarchical structure of existence and is not consistent with the principality of quiddity.
2. Gradation of existence
According to this principle, existence has a linear chain of causes and effects. According to the principle of causality, there is a similarity between cause and effect in such a way that the cause is perfection of effect. So it can be said that the effect is a symbol of the cause and it shows it’s cause in special aspect. According to this explanation, gradation of existence in its very nature discloses the codifying nature, and each level of existence is a symbol of a higher order than itself. In other words, the gradation of existence represents a symbolic look to the being. This theory also expands the scope of symbolism.
3. Personal Unity of Existence
Here, the dependence of the manifestation of appearance and unity between them is much stronger than the gradation of existence, and hence the manifestation of the appearance is also much stronger, hence the codifying here is more clearly and more strongly.
4. Reality and Intangible Reality
On the basis of this principle which itself is based on principle of gradation and the principality of existence, each truth at higher level enjoy without any limit in a more perfect way, the degree of whatever is present at lower level. This point provides us with a reason to believe that, the
reality in the low order is intangible form of the truth at higher level, and this is what we call it in this paper as the symbolism. On this basis, it can be said that symbol is an intangible of the reality that it represents, and therefore there is a certain proportionality between them.

5. Relational Existence of Effect
This principle is entailed by the principle of the personal unity of existence and it represents symbolism in best way, because relational existence of effect (inhering existence) is manifestation of the existence of cause. Therefore, in fact relational existence of effect is a symbol of cause and independent existence.
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